

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
)
Nishi et al.)
)
Serial No.: 10/601,793)
)
Filed: June 23, 2003)
)
For: OLED Display With Auxiliary Electrode)
)
Examiner: Joseph L. Williams)
)
Art Unit: 2879)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE (D) TO OFFICE ACTION

Applicants have the following response to the Office Action of November 17, 2006.

Applicants will address each of the Examiner's rejections in the order in which they appear in the Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejects Claim 85 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, the Examiner states that the term "the electrically and thermally conductive layer" in Claim 85 has an insufficient antecedent basis.

Applicants note that Claim 85 was copied from Claim 10 of US 6,812,637 (Cok) in order to suggest an interference with the '637 patent. This term in Claim 85 of the present application was